IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 11650 of 2004

Between:
Bhogi Anjaneyaswamy, S/o.Late Satyanarayana,
R/o.Lakshminagar, Amadalavalasa, Srikakulam District.
..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Deputy Commissioner, Endowments Dept.,
Visakhapatnam Dist.
2 Assistant Commissioner, Endowments Department, Srikakulam,
Srikakulam Dist.
.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction especially one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the 1st Respondent in not passing the orders in the O.A.No.134 of 2002 filed by the petitioner is illegal and arbitrary and further declare that the petitioner shall be recognised as member of the founder family of Sri Uma Ramalingeswaraswamy temple, Amadalavalasa and pass.

For the Petitioner: Mr.M.SURENDER RAO, Advocate

For the Respondents: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the first respondent in disposing of the O.A.No.134 of 2002, which was filed by the petitioner seeking declaration that he is a member of the founder's family of Sri Uma Ramalingeswaraswamy Temple, Amadalavalasa, Srikakulam District.

2. According to the petitioner, originally he made an application before the second respondent – Assistant Commissioner seeking declaration that he is a member of the founder's family of the above said temple. The said application was filed in the year 1994. Though, the said proceedings were pending for more than eight years, no orders have been passed. Therefore, the petitioner approached the first respondent, before whom, the present O.A. has been filed. Even the first respondent though fixed up the matter for hearing, on number of occasions, on the ground that the second respondent had not filed the counter, the matter was adjourned from time to time. Therefore, the petitioner has come up with the present writ petition seeking direction to the first respondent to dispose of the O.A. at an early date.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for respondents.

4. As the grievance of the petitioner is that the O.A. is not being disposed of by the first respondent, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage directing the first respondent to dispose of the O.A.No.134 of 2002 expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

--

09.07.2004
Note: Furnish CC in 3 days
B/o

Va

To

1 The Deputy Commissioner, Endowments Dept.,
Visakhapatnam Dist.

2 Assistant Commissioner, Endowments Department, Srikakulam,
Srikakulam Dist.

3 Two CD Copies

4. Two CCs to G.P. for Endowments , High Court of A.P. Buildings
Hyderabad (OUT)